## ANNEX A

Objections and Officers Recommendations to the Epsom & Ewell Local Committee meeting on 8 December 2010

Drawing number and road name	Letter drop and number of letters	Objections received	Officers recommendation
<b>Drawing 01</b> – Roland Way / The Avenue – Worcester Park	Notices on site only	No Objections Received	Proceed as advertised
<b>Drawing 02</b> – Salisbury Road / Spinney Close / Edenfield Gardens – Worcester Park	Notices on site only	1 Objection to Edenfield Gardens 1 letter of agreement with Edenfield Gardens – would like lines extended.	Proceed as advertised
<b>Drawing 03</b> – Salisbury Road / Delta Road – Worcester Park	Notices on site only	<b>Delta Road:</b> 1 agrees with JPM but thinks rest of scheme is Piecemeal	Proceed as advertised
Drawing 04 – Salisbury Road / Cuddington Avenue – Worcester Park / Vale Road	50 letters sent 34 % responses received	Cuddington Avenue: 5 agree to proposals – 4 would like times extended and one wants Delta Road included. 12 Objections – 2 want residents permits, 1 would prefer both sides were not restricted. Most want to retain some kind of 'slowing' of traffic affect by keeping cars parked along this road. Several would like Vale Road roundabout included. 66% of residents did not respond.	Proceed as advertised
<b>Drawing 05</b> – Elmstead Gardens / Inveresk Gardens / Ardrossan Gardens – Worcester Park	Notices on site only	1 Objection to Ardrossan Gardens	Proceed as advertised
Drawing 06 – Vale Road /	See Drawing 04 (above)	Cuddington Avenue:	Proceed as advertised

Inveresk Gardens / Fairford		See Drawing 04 (above)	
Gardens / Cuddington Avenue -			
Stoneleigh			
Drawing 07 – Newbury Gardens /	30 letters sent	All:	Proceed as advertised
Vale Road – Stoneleigh / Cudas	16 % responses received	One resident of Stoneleigh Park	
Close	10 /0 responses received	Road objection to this section	
Close		because they will not be able to drop	
		children off at school	
		Cudas Close:	
		1 resident who is disabled believes	
		the restriction could affect them –	
		however disabled drivers would be	
		exempt – doesn't want it to affect	
		residents.	
		1 asking if permits will be allocated	
		to residents – doesn't want it to affect	
		residents.	
		1 who agrees with the proposals	
		Newbury Gardens:	
		1 in agreement – would like further	
		restrictions near Vale Rd Roundabout	
		1 in agreement with proposals	
Drawing 08 – Stoneleigh	90 letters sent	Stoneleigh Crescent:	Amend times to 2pm – 3pm over
Crescent / Stoneleigh Park Road -	43% responses received	25 Objections to entire proposal	same extents as advertised.
Stoneleigh		23 for proposals, but 15 would like a	
		one hour afternoon restriction	
		between 2 & 3pm	
		Stoneleigh Park Road:	
		14 Objections $-2$ to reduce times, 1	
		wants Council Tax reduced as it	
		would affect their parking and 12	
		total objections.	

		4 in agreement, but two would like proposals extended.	
<b>Drawing 09</b> – Seaforth Gardens / Lymington Gardens - Stoneleigh	Notices on site only	No Objections Received	Proceed as advertised
<b>Drawing 10</b> – Lymington Gardens / Amberley Gardens / Walsingham Gardens - Stoneleigh	Notices on site only	No Objections Received	Proceed as advertised
<b>Drawing 11</b> – Mavis Close - Stoneleigh	26 letters sent 43% responses received from Mavis Close.	<ol> <li>1 in agreement with restrictions in turning circles only.</li> <li>13 residents of Mavis Close object</li> <li>14 residents of Mavis Avenue object</li> </ol>	Do not proceed
<b>Drawing 12</b> – The Grange / The warren – Worcester Park	Notices on site only	No objections received.	Proceed as advertised
Drawing 13 – Ruxley Lane / Egmont Mews - Ewell	Notices on site only	No Objections Received	Proceed as advertised
<b>Drawing 14</b> – Stanton Close - Ewell	52 letters sent 10% responses received	<ul> <li>5 Objections</li> <li>1 would like no parking 6.30pm –</li> <li>7.30am</li> <li>2 would like double yellow lines.</li> <li>New Bollards prevent parking on the side that the proposals were meant for.</li> </ul>	Do not proceed
<b>Drawing 15</b> – Ewell Court Avenue / Lakehurst Road - Ewell	Notices on site only	No Objections Received	Proceed as advertised
<b>Drawing 16</b> – Rutherwyke Close - Stoneleigh	80 letters sent 4% responses received	<ol> <li>objection to south-eastern end between Cumnor Gardens and turning head</li> <li>objection to whole street</li> <li>agrees with proposals especially between Cumnor Gardens and turning head – but would like</li> </ol>	Do not proceed

		restrictions on both sides.	
<b>Drawing 17</b> – The Glade – Stoneleigh	30 letters sent 13% responses received	<ul> <li>1 letter asking to reduce times to</li> <li>11am - 1pm</li> <li>1 letter asking to reduce times to</li> <li>12am - 2pm</li> <li>1 letter of objection</li> <li>1 letter asking for restrictions on both</li> <li>sides</li> </ul>	Proceed as advertised
<b>Drawing 18</b> – The Hawthorns / Shortcroft Road / Kingston Road - Ewell	20 letters sent 5% responses received	1 Objection only asking for line to be reduced outside of no.1 Hawthorns to allow for parking (disabled child accessibility).	Proceed, but reduce length of restriction as per objection.
<b>Drawing 19</b> – St Station Avenue / Eastcroft Road - Ewell	Notices on site only	No Objections Received	Proceed as advertised
Drawing 20 – Kingston Road - Ewell	12 letters sent 300% responses received	36 objections received through a 'Round Robin' letter – stating 75% reduction in parking for residents / single yellow line up to no.4 Kingston Road and do not agree with large vehicle problem as there is a 7.5t weight limit. 5 stand alone objections 1 objection from Ewell Village Residents Association asking for passing place outside the Wheatsheaf pub instead. 1 agreement from Cllr Woodbridge 1 agreement from Cllr Arthur – but to reduce length to boundary of nos. 4&6	Would recommend to proceed with DYL restriction up to 'Stop line'
<b>Drawing 21</b> – West Drive / Nonsuch Walk - Cheam	<ul><li>14 letters sent</li><li>7% responses received</li></ul>	1 letter of agreement with West Drive	Retain Mon-Fri 08.30 – 18.30 restriction at junction of West

			Drive and Nonsuch Walk and reduce rest of restriction to Mon – Fri 11am – 12 noon.
<b>Drawing 22</b> – Reigate Road / Curvan Close - Ewell	Notices on site only	No Objections Received	Proceed as advertised
Drawing 23 – Longmead Road / Sefton Road / Cumberland Close / Marsh Avenue / Gibraltar Crescent - Epsom	30 letters sent 6% responses received	2 Objections to Marsh Avenue	Very small percentage of replies to letters – would therefore recommend proceeding as advertised.
Drawing 24 – Harvester Road / Tonstall Road / Briane Road / Bahram Road - Epsom	100 letters sent 6% responses received	<ul><li>4 Objections to entire proposal</li><li>1 in agreement and asking to add jct</li><li>of Andover Close.</li><li>1 requesting further info.</li></ul>	Retain DYLs as advertised, do not proceed with SYL proposals
<b>Drawing 25</b> – Tonstall Road / Tomlin Close / Hook Road - Epsom	See above	As above	Retain DYLs as advertised, do not proceed with SYL proposals
<b>Drawing 26</b> – Manor Green Road / Christ Church Mount / Ridgeway - Epsom	20 letters sent 5% responses received	1 Objection to proposals on Ridgeway Only	Proceed as advertised, but reduce length of restriction on Ridgeway to 20m
Drawing 27 – Hazon Way / Maider Road / Horsley Close – Epsom	140 letters sent 10% responses received	See below	Do not proceed
Drawing 28 – Hazon Way / Maidenshaw Road / Gosfield Road / Temple Road / Horsley Close / Waterloo Road– Epsom	See above	<ul> <li>7 Objections, but 2 only object if the bay in Waterloo Road is not extended to Sunday.</li> <li>8 in agreement, but would like lines extended further into Hazon Way</li> </ul>	Do not proceed
<b>Drawing 29</b> – Portland Place / Lintons Lane / Stones Road / Victoria Place - Epsom	Notices on site only	Stones Road / Lintons Lane / Victoria Place / Stones Road 1 in agreement with proposals Resident of 2 Victoria Place	Proceed as advertised.

<b>Drawing 30</b> – Middle Lane / Middle Close / Access to garages for Middle Close / Dirdene Gardens - Epsom	Notices on site only	objecting to DYL outside no.2Victoria PlaceObjection from 4 CoronationCottages objecting to same abovePortland Place:1 Objection to proposalsDirdene Gardens:1 Objection asking for north sideDYL to stop at no.42 – south sideDYL to end at 65 and continue withSYL as per extents shown on plan.4 objections1 Objection asking for CPZ1 Objection asking for DYL on south	Proceed as advertised.
Drawing 31 – Stevens Close -	Notices on site only	side only. 1 asking for times to be reduced to 8.30am – 6.30pm 1 in agreement with proposals 1 agreeing in principal but thinks	Proceed as advertised
Epsom Drawing 32 – Mill Road / Bridge Road - Epsom	Notices on site only	it should be DYLs1 in agreement, but feels times should be reduced to 8.30-6.30 1 neutral letter asking for further restrictions 1 agreement, but wants restrictions extended.	Proceed as advertised
<b>Drawing 33</b> – Wallace Fields / St Johns Avenue - Epsom	Notices on site only	2 in agreement, but would like SYL to extend to meet DYLs 2 in agreement, but would like DYL to extend to meet SYLs	Proceed with DYLs as advertised,, do not proceed with SYLs.
<b>Drawing 34</b> – St Johns Avenue / Dorling Drive - Epsom	Notices on site only	No Objections Received	Proceed as advertised

<b>Drawing 35</b> – College Road / Lynwood Road- Epsom	Notices on site only	No Objections Received	Proceed as advertised
<b>Drawing 36</b> – South Street - Epsom	25 letters sent	No Objections Received	Proceed as advertised
Drawing 37 – Rosebank (Spur)- Epsom	Notices on site only	No Objections Received	Proceed as advertised
<b>Drawing 38</b> – Woodcote Side - Epsom	25 letters sent 4% responses received	1 would like SYLs on eastern side from Dorking Road to southern boundary of property known as Oakdene	Proceed as advertised
Drawing 39 – Woodcote Side / Loop Road / Woodcote Green Road / Wilmerhatch Lane - Epsom	20 letters sent 65% responses received	<ul> <li>1 objection from NHS regarding staff parking</li> <li>3 Objections</li> <li>4 in agreement, but would like restrictions extended along</li> <li>Wilmerhatch Lane.</li> <li>2 would like Woodcote Park Road included due to knock on effect.</li> <li>2 would like times reduced to Mon-Fri and only up to 6pm</li> <li>1 would like peak time restrictions only</li> <li>1 to reduce restrictions to one side of Loop Road only</li> </ul>	Proceed as advertised, but reduce restriction – remove proposals from east side of Wilmerhatch Lane and north east side of Loop Road.
<b>Drawing 40</b> –Grosvenor Road / Beaconsfield Road / Rosebery Road – Langley Vale	Notices on site only	2 Objections to parking bay in Grosvenor Road 1 Objection to DYLs in Rosebery Road	Reduce length of proposed parking bay to 20m. Proceed as advertised with DYL restrictions.
<b>Drawing 41</b> – High Street - Epsom	Notices on site only	2 objections to High Street (Greggs) proposal 1 objection to taxi-rank (clock tower	Retain existing loading restrictions of 07.30-09.30 and 16.30-18.30 Mon-Fri / 08.30-

		no loading proposal 28 Objections as part of a letter signing exercise	17.30 on Saturday. Proceed with Clock Tower proposals as advertised.
<b>Drawing 42</b> – Access to Calverley Court from Kingston Road service road.	Notices on site only	No Objections Received	Proceed as advertised